Umeå University
Department of
Computing Science

Review results

Student Conference Course

Thomas Hellström, Suna Bensch

http://www8.cs.umu.se/kurser/5DV144/HT13/

- 10 papers were submitted (out of 15 possible)
- 6 were accepted
- 4 were rejected
- At least 2 reviewers per paper
  - Each reviewer suggests one of *Strong reject, Weak reject, Weak accept, Strong accept*
  - The editors make a combined decision *Accept/Reject*
  - A 3rd reviewer may be used if conflicting reviews
  - Only 1 reviewer necessary if it is a *Strong reject*
- 9 different reviewers in total (PhDs from the CS department)
- Normally, an *Accept* is conditioned with minor or major required changes of the paper

If your paper got accepted with revisions

- Consider the comments from the reviewers
  - Revise according to all comments
  - Write a separate detailed revision document
    - Reviewer's comment, Your modification with reference to page and line numbers in the original file
- The revised paper must follow the formatting guidelines
- Submission by email
- Deadline January 6, 2014, 12:00
- Attach revision document and included files, figures etc.
- If the revised paper is accepted
  - You will present at the conference January 15, 2014, 09:00
  - All authors are expected to be present during the entire conference
  - The paper will appear in the printed proceedings of the conference

If your paper did not get accepted

- Consider the comments from the reviewers
  - Revise according to all comments
  - Write a separate detailed revision document
    - Reviewer's comment, Your modification with reference to page and line numbers in the original file
- The revised paper must follow the formatting guidelines
- Submission by email
- Deadline February 20, 2014, 12:00
- Attach revision document and included files, figures etc.
- If the revised paper is accepted
  - You will present for us (and other students) at the end of Feb.
  - You will get at most grade 3.
- The course is given each year

- Reviewers are peers who work for free and invest a lot of work
- The main purpose is quality assurance
- In addition, peer reviews are one of the best ways to get really valuable feedback on your work
  - Acknowledge their contribution in your paper!
- Many (most?) conferences have reviewers who are not really peers
  - You don't get really valuable feedback
  - Bad publications are accepted
- The Student Conference in Computing Science tries to be serious, critical, and constructive